

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/276,207	03/25/1999	WILLIAM CARTER CARROLL BULLARD	10360/009001	1809	
27820	7590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER		
WITHROW & TERRANOVA, P.L.L.C.			KARMIS, STEFANOS		
	P.O. BOX 1287 CARY, NC 27512		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3624		
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/276,207	CARROLL BULLARD, WILLIAM CARTER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stefano Karmis	3624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 J	l <u>uly 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1: Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed the 08 July 2004.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1, 7, 11 and 21 are presently amended. Claims 2-6 and 12-20 are previously presented. Claims 8-10 are left as originally filed. Therefore claims 1-21 are under prosecution in this application.

Summary of this Office Action

3. Applicant's arguments filed 08 July 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Response to the arguments is discussed below. Therefore claims 1-21 stand rejected as stated in the previous office action, mailed 08 April 2004, and Applicants request for allowance is respectfully denied.

Art Unit: 3624

Response to Arguments

- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-15, 17-19 and 21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gallant et al. (hereinafter Gallant) U.S. Patent 5,802,468 as stated in the office action mailed 08 April 2004.
- 5. Applicant has amended claim 1, to recite a computer implemented method comprising providing a computer network subscriber with a networking transmission service having a first characteristic related to a quality of service associated with the networking transmission service. Applicant asserts that Gallant fails to be concerned with billing based on quality of service. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, Gallant teaches a subscriber who is billed for service used in a particular service area. Gallant further states that the service areas are determined on the basis of providing quality of service (column 6, lines 49-59). Therefore quality of service is a basis in billing the subscriber. The Examiner believes the teachings of Gallant to be sufficient, however the Examiner has included the teachings of alternate prior art to provide further evidence with respect to billing based on quality of service.

Rahman U.S. Patent 6,445,916 teaches a wireless system and method for evaluating quality of service in which quality of service is a factor for providing billing to a subscriber (column 7, lines 1-14). It would be obvious to anyone of ordinary skill in the art that the teachings of Gallant could include the teachings of Rahman for quality of service billing because both provide billing for use of service over a network and it provides an efficient and measurable parameter for determining billing amount based on criteria subscribers place importance upon.

Art Unit: 3624

Independent claims 11 and 21 are amended in a similar fashion and therefore the rejection follows as stated above for those claims.

- 6. Continuing Applicant contests that the Gallant is concerned with telephone networks and not computer networks. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, Gallant discloses a computer connected to the network for tracking tariffs and further discloses that computer system can be located elsewhere in the mobile communication system or in the public switched telephone network (column 6, lines 30-35 and Figure 1). Therefore Gallant does teach a computer network and the rejection stands as stated.
- Regarding the Official Notice stance taken by the Examiner with respect to claims 5 and 16 in which the Examiner states that determining packet loss in a computer network environment is old and well known in the art, the Examiner has provided prior art evidence to support the rejection. Chen et al, U.S. Patent 5,793,976 teaches a method and apparatus for performance monitoring in electronic communications networks including determining packet loss in a computer networking (column 4, lines 40-56).
- Regarding the Official Notice stance taken by the Examiner with respect to claims 10 and 20 in which the Examiner states that accounting policies in a computer network is old and well known in the art, the Examiner has provided prior art evidence to support the rejection. Melen et al. U.S. Patent 5,956,391 teaches billing in the Internet, which includes accounting policies performed on a computer network (Abstract).

Art Unit: 3624

9. Therefore, the Examiner has fully responded to Applicant's amendment and claims 1-21 stand rejected as stated in the previous office action, mailed 08 April 2004, and Applicants request for allowance is respectfully denied.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (703) 305-8130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

Art Unit: 3624

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully Submitted Stefano Karmis 15 September 2004

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER